UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	v. Jose Antonio Rivera-Romero	Case No. 1:16-mj-00176	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act defendant be detained pending trial.	t, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – Findings	of Fact	
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U a federal offense a state or local offense that wou existed – that is	S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ld have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	1), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.			
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	ars or more is prescribed in:	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convi U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or loca	cted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ll offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim		
	the possession or use of a firearm or destraction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the dat offense described in finding (1).	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has no		
	Alternative Findi	ngs (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	mmitted an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	is prescribed in: .*	
(2)		by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions	
(/	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the s		
,	Alternative Finding	ngs (B)	
` ,	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
(2)	· ·		
	Part II – Statement of the Rea		
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the deten ✓ a preponderance of the evidence that:	tion hearing establishes by clear and convincing	

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	July 8, 2016	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	